Measure of forecast challenge (MFC) and predictability horizon diagram index (PHDX) for ensemble models

Jun Du, Binbin Zhou and Jason Levit (Environmental Modeling Center/NCEP/NOAA)

Du, J., B. Zhou, and J. Levit, 2019: Measure of forecast challenge and predictability horizon diagram index for ensemble models. *Wea. and Forecasting*, 34(6), 603-615, DOI: 10.1175/WAF-D-18-0114.1

# Purpose

Unlike most current verification scores, these two new metrics are designed for weather forecast users to measure the difficulty level of a forecast in their decisionmaking process but not for model diagnosis 1. Measure of forecast challenge (MFC)





Forecast time

# There is a need

To combine forecast error and forecast uncertainty into one quantity  $\rightarrow$  Measure of Forecast Challenge MFC (analogy: 2D vs. 3D photo)

# Currently existing measures

$$1. EME = |m - o|$$

$$2. Sprd = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \times \sum_{1}^{n} (m_{i} - m)^{2}}, (i = 1, 2, ..., n)$$

$$3. NonLN = |m - m_{ctl}| \qquad (Du \text{ and Zhou 2011})$$

$$4. OUT = \frac{o - m_{max}}{m_{max} - m_{min}}, if o > m_{max}$$

$$OUT = \frac{m_{min} - o}{m_{max} - m_{min}}, if o < m_{min}$$

$$OUT = 0, if m_{min} \le o \le m_{max}$$

## Measure of forecast challenge (MFC)

## $MFC = (EME + Sprd + NonLN) \times (1 + OUT)$





### Example: EME vs. MFC (H850)

#### (a) |Ensemble mean error|

#### (b) Measure of forecast challenge



2018-05-15-15:13

GrADS: COLA/ICES

2. Predictability horizon diagram index (PHDX)

#### Use "predictability horizon diagram (Greybush *et al.* 2017)" to demonstrate: Type-I (ensemble providing credible info)



### Type-II (ensemble providing misleading info)



## Type-III (ensemble largely providing uncertain info)

(a)



(b)

# There is a need

To quantify the evolution of ensemble forecasts over prediction period rather than just a time snapshot  $\rightarrow$  "predictability horizon diagram" index PHDX (analogy: photo vs. video) Predictability horizon diagram index (PHDX): an application of MFC to predictability horizon diagram

$$PHDX = \frac{Trend}{Mag} \qquad [-1.0, +1.0]$$

where *Trend* is the net trend over the forecast cycle or lead time t (t = T, T-1, ..., 2, 1), defined as

Trend = 
$$\sum_{T}^{2} (\delta_t \times Avslp)$$
,  $(t = T, T - 1, ..., 3, 2)$ 

T is the oldest cycle, and 1 is the most current cycle. Avslp is the average slope or change between two neighboring forecast cycles defined as

$$\begin{aligned} Avslp &= \frac{1}{T-1} \times \sum_{T}^{2} |MFC(t-1) - MFC(t)|, \ (t = T, T-1, ..., 3, 2) \\ \delta_{t} &= 1, \ if \ MFC(t-1) - MFC(t) < 0 \ (decreasing \ of \ MFC) \\ \delta_{t} &= -1, \ if \ MFC(t-1) - MFC(t) > 0 \ (increasing \ of \ MFC) \\ \delta_{t} &= 0, \ if \ MFC(t-1) - MFC(t) = 0 \ (no \ change \ of \ MFC) \end{aligned}$$

*Mag* is the total magnitude of *MFC* over all forecast cycles, defined as  $Mag = \sum_{T}^{1} MFC(t)$ 



## Summary

- 1. Two new ensemble verification scores for users in decision making but not for developers in model diagnosis (*i.e.*, user-centered rather than modeler-centered)
- MFC combines forecast error and forecast uncertainty together into one single score. Four contributors to MFC: ensemble mean error, ensemble spread, nonlinearity and ensemble outlier (2D vs. 3D photo)
- PHDX (-1.0, 1.0) measures the time evolution of predictability over forecast cycles: Type I (PHDX > 0) indicates an EPS provides credible info, Type II (PHDX < 0) provides misleading info, and Type-III (PHDX ~ 0) largely provides uncertain
- 4. Forecasting process oriented verification method such as PHDX should better describe a forecast than one-time snapshot type of scores (photo vs. video)
- 5. Welcome to use and improve the new scores (MFC and PHDX)!