



or you to ask the speakers

susing the chat function

2020 WMO (S) HIWeather WORKSHOP WEBINAR SERIES

USER-ORIENTED EVALUATION OF HIGH IMPACT WEATHER:

Meaningful information on forecast quality Join an international network of scientists to discuss how focusing on the users' needs can lead to better decisions in response to high impact weather

HIWeather

Visit the HIWeather website for more information

11 November 20-22 UTC



Register for free by 20 October Email your details including professional affiliation to Mwegmann@wmo.int

Check your local time



User-oriented evaluation - overview of the task team's activities Barbara Brown, National Center for Atmospheric Research, USA User-driven evaluation of tropical cyclone

Julia Chasco,

National Meteorological Service of Argentina Incorporating the perspective of user evaluation into the creation of a new early warning system

Amanda Siems-Anderson,

National Center for Atmospheric Research, USA Verifying the performance of a coupled fire-atmosphere model

COMMUNICATING ABOUT UNDERSTANDING, MONITORING AND ESTIMATING **HIGH IMPACT WEATHER:** WEATHER-RELATED RISK AND IMPACT: Uncertainty, trust, and beliefs A basis for better warnings, decisions, and outcomes Join an international network of scientists to discuss the role of uncertainty, trust and beliefs in communicating about High Impact Weather Join an international network of High Impact Weather scientists to discuss TC 26 October 8 - 9:30pm 26 OCT 20.00-21.30 UTC 05 NOV 14.00-16.00 UTC (Recording available soon) (Recording available soon) anellists questions using the chat SPEAKERS unction **Brian Mills** Environment & Climate Change SPEAKERS Canada Overview of HIWeather IVR task tea Dr Sally Potter, GNS Science, NZ Dr Andrea Taylor Dr Isabelle Ruin Iniversity of Leeds, UK Institut des Géosciences d l'Environnement (IGE), France Integrating dynamic human exposure and vulnerability in flood impact-based forecasts This is the second in a series of five seminars Dr Susan Joslyn organized by the World Meteorological Organization's Sara Harrison University of Washington, USA HIWeather research project. Massey University, New Zealand Developing an integrated impact, Register for free by 29 October vulnerability and exposure knowledge base for New Zealand Dr Thomas Kox. Email your details including professiona Ludwig Maximilian University of affiliation to Register for free by 20 October Mwegmann@wmo.int HAZARDOUS WEATHER PROCESSES: Observations and case studies Check your local time Che 19 NOV 21.00-23.00 UTC **25 NOV** 14.00-16.00 UTC **NRP** WWRF webinar series will be run by the HIWeather research project of the World Meteorological Organization. This is the fourth webinar focusing on the topic of multiscale hazard prediction The webinar consists of six 15-minutes presentations and discussions following each presentation. You are cordially invited to join an international network of scientists to discuss progress and challenges related to hazard prediction and warning. Realstration info can be found by clicking the workshop link above. Realster before 5 Novemb Time (UTC) Speaker Title 21:00-21:15 Jenny Sun Overview of HIWeather's hazard forecasting tas team activitie: 21:20-21:35 Francois Bouttier Seamless ensemble nowcasting of thunderstorms and flash floods 21:40-21:55 Tammy Weckwerth New observations of water vapor MPDs (Micropulse Differential absorption lidar) and their impact on convective weather forecasting in an OSSE (Observing System Simulation Experiment 22:00-22:15 Nusrat Yussou Data Assimilation and High-Resolution Modeling: Key to Skillful Storm-scale Forecasting 22:20-22:35 Glen Romine Convective-scale hazard prediction and oredictability 22:40-22:55 Mika Peace New tools and techniques for understanding and predicting the impacts of fire-atmosphere egister for free by 18 Novembe 働 Email your details including professiona ation to Mweemann@wr 1 National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA (L) Check your local time 2 Meteo France, Toulouse, France 3. National Severe Storm Laboratory, Norman, OK, USA

series being run by the Work

After an introduction to the them

here will be four 15-min tresentations (plus Q&A) and (

neral discussion

Michael Riemer. phannes Gutenberg Uni

ainz. Germany

Calvin M. Fikins

ucing storms

obert Rogers

Miami, USA

Peter Knippertz,

Linus Magnusson,

Germany low can use improve the needletion (

HIWeather

WRP

erview of HIWeather

University of Illinois at

bana-Champaign, USA

Hurricane Research Division

of tropical cyclanes with aircraft observatio

Karlsruhe Institute of Technolog

European Center of Medium-Rang

ather Forecast, Reading, UK

redictability of high-impact weather

How can we use case studies

ng social media to identify hai

SPEAKERS

HWeather research project.



4. Bureau of Meteorology, Melbourne, Australia

HIWeather

WWRP

€

USER-ORIENTED EVALUATION OF HIGH IMPACT WEATHER:

Meaningful information on forecast quality

Your Hosts: Martin Wegmann WMO & Beth Ebert Bureau of Meteorology, Australia

Beth Ebert (beth.ebert@bom.gov.au) Bureau of Meteorology, Australia User-oriented evaluation – overview of the task team's activities

Barbara Brown (bgb@ucar.edu)

National Center for Atmospheric Research, USA User-driven evaluation of tropical cyclone predictions

> WORLD METEOROLOGICAL ORGANIZATION

Julia Chasco (jchasco@smn.gov.ar) National Meteorological Service of Argentina Incorporating the perspective of user evaluation into the creation of a new early warning system

Amanda Siems-Anderson (aander@ucar.edu) National Center for Atmospheric Research, USA Verifying the performance of a coupled fire-atmosphere model

Evaluating the warning information value chain

Develop appropriate **verification methods** for new kinds of temporal and spatial high impact weather forecasts Build users' trust by giving information about how good the forecasts were, reasons for incorrect forecasts, and **userfocused verification** Use social media and non-standard data to evaluate hazards, impacts and response, and improve collection of these data

Apply social science to help **understand decisions** made in response to high impact weather and hazards

Develop and apply **methods to describe and measure the effectiveness** of the warning information value chain



Verification methods for new kinds of forecasts

Spatial verification of high-res ensemble forecasts

MesoVICT – Mesoscale Verification in Complex Terrain

- Focus on precipitation & wind in mountains
- → Spatial verification methods developed for determ. forecasts adapted to verify ensemble forecasts
- → Observation uncertainty (represented by ensemble of gridded analyses) can change the verification results considerably

EUMETNET SRNWP-EPS II

- Focus on phenomena: thunderstorms and fog
- Extent and timing are important
- Observations include lightning, visibility, *impacts, crowdsourcing...*

Courtesy Manfred Dorninger and Chiara Marsigli

Fire spread evaluation

- NWP coupled with fire behaviour models
- → Simple metrics used in meteorology (e.g. threat score) can be adapted to verify
 - fire area, rate of spread, bearing
 - sensitivity to variations in weather, fuel, ignition location/time



User-focused forecast verification

1st International Verification Challenge

- 17 entries from 11 countries
- → Winner: Helge Goessling, "Integrated Ice Edge Error (IIEE) & Spatial Probability Score"

Develop and Demonstrate the Best New User-Oriented Forecast Verification Metric Challenge Contest run by WMO Joint Working Group on Forecast Verification Research in support of the WWRP projects on High Impact Weather (HIWeather), Subseasonal to Seasonal Prediction (S2S), and Polar Prediction (PPP) Aim: Promote user-oriented verification, that is, guantitative assessment of forecast quality in terms that are meaningful to particular kinds of forecast users Scope All applications of meteorological and hydrological forecasts Users include industry, emergency management, public, many possibilities Metrics may be scores or diagrams, must be new Anyone with a good idea (individuals, teams) can enter attendance and keynote talk at next International Prize: Paid Verification Methods Workshop in 2017. All participants will be invited to submit an abstract to the workshop How to enter: http://www.wmo.int/pages/prog FostVerChalienge htm Timeline Challenge begins: September 2015 Deadline for entries: 31 October 2016 Announcement of winner: January 2017 urlber information enfchallenge@ucar.ed

User-driven verification of tropical cyclone predictions

- Info to help National Hurricane Center (NHC) to select experimental NWP models to demonstrate to operational forecasters during each TC season
- Q: How did the experimental model errors <u>rank</u> in comparison to the errors associated with three baseline models?



Courtesy Barb Brown

2nd Challenge to develop and demonstrate the best new forecast verification metric *using non-traditional observations*



Run by WMO HIWeather Project and Joint Working Group on Forecast Verification Research

Timeline:

- Deadline for entries: 30 April 2021
- Announcement of winner: end May 2021
- More info: <u>https://community.wmo.int/news/2nd-international-verification-challenge</u>

Using non-standard data

Global Hazard Map evaluation

- Traditional verification Did the forecast weather at a certain level of severity occur?
- → Impact-based evaluation Did the forecast weather result in a high-impact event? (using database of community impacts)



European Weather Observer (EWOB)

- Pan-European, standardized set of human-assessed (hydro, meteo, geo) reporting parameters
- Propose European NMHSs act as national data hubs for weather- and impact observations, exchange of data between NMHS level and European level



ZAMG contributions: Thomas Krennert, Rainer Kaltenberger, Andreas Schaffhauser

Joanne Robbins & Helen Titley, Meteorol Appl. 2018; 25: 548-560.

Understanding user decisions

Effectiveness of impact-based warnings for extreme weather events Impact-based warnings and behavioural recommendations both increase warning perception and understanding and improve intended behavioural response

How do people deal with inconsistent warnings?

Evaluating the potential of social media information in warning decision-making

Dynamic protection motivation framework to explain risk reduction behaviours

Comparative analysis of policy and social science units in weather services

- Inconsistent warnings have a severe negative effect on warning quality and intended behavioural response.
- Using serious games, we observe that information from the crowd disseminated on social media leads to better decisions and increases associated confidence levels among practitioners.
- People are motivated by different factors in prompting risk reduction behaviour based on their type of protective measures undertaken.
- Highlights the characteristics, advantages, challenges and potential risks of different models of social science/policy units

New EWS



→ Interdisciplinary co-design and co-creation of new forecast and early warning system oriented to riskinformed decision making

Warning system



Advisory system

LOW VISIBILITY:	
FOG	
DUST	
VOLCANIC ASH	
SMOKE	
LOW /HIGH TEMPERATURES	





- Importance of social sciences in developing the future system and testing, thresholds, colors, forms of communication, and understanding of alerts on a range of users
- Threshold-based rather than impact-based at present

Courtesy Julia Chasco

Evaluating the warning value chain

Exploring the predictability of fluvial flooding from tropical cyclones

- Investigate key factors influencing severity of flood hazard in tropical cyclones (TCs)
- How do these factors impact predictability of fluvial flooding from TCs in the Global Flood Awareness System (GloFAS)





Survey on impact-oriented warnings in Europe

- 32/37 of European NMHSs replied to EUMETNET EMMA/Meteoalarm survey on implementation of impact-oriented warnings
- 79 questions covered topics from warning format, production process of warnings, dissemination of warnings, verification of warnings, warning strategy, crowdsourcing and cross-border collaboration.
- Valuable dataset for potential impact-oriented warning initiatives on regional / global scale

Kaltenberger, R., Schaffhauser, A., & Staudinger, M. (2020). *Adv. Sci. Research*, **17**, 29-38.

Courtesy Helen Titley

Measuring socio-economic benefits

Societal and Economic Research Applications (SERA) workshop

- To be held in 2021 in Offenbach, Germany, hosted and run by the Hans Ertel Centre
- The format will include a tutorial on social science methods, impact prediction, etc. followed by a scientific conference.
- Topics:
 - Estimation of the economic (social) value of weather information
 - Understanding the use of weather information in decision making
 - Understanding the communication of weather forecast uncertainty
 - User-relevant verification methods
 - Decision support systems and tools
 - Impact modeling
 - Citizen observations/science

Value chain approaches to evaluate the end-to-end warning chain



- New HIWeather flagship project joint with WWRP Societal & Economic Research Applications WG
- **Overall aim:** Describe, measure and improve effectiveness of the end-to-end warning chain
- Value chain provides framework for characterising stakeholder relationships, processes, inputs, contributions, and operational contexts
- Use VC to describe *actual high impact weather events* collected in a database
- Living database supports research
- Future link with WMO Catalogue of Hazardous Events (WMO-CHE)

Join our HIWeather activities!

- 2nd Verification Challenge to use new and novel observations
- Global Hazard Map evaluation
- European Weather Observer (EWOB)
- Societal and Economic Research Applications (SERA) workshop
- Value Chain project
- 2020 HIWeather Workshops: 1. Dec 1 Successful Citizen Science
 - 2. Dec 2 Warnings Value Chain
 - 3. Dec 3 Toward the Perfect Warning

Abstracts for presentations due 19 November

More info: <u>http://hiweather.net</u>

Publications

- Dorninger, M., E. Gilleland, B. Casati, M. Mittermaier, E. Ebert, B. Brown, and L. Wilson, 2018: The set-up of the Mesoscale Verification Inter-Comparison over Complex Terrain (MesoVICT) project. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 99, 1887-1906, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-17-0164.1
- Ebert, E., B. Brown, M. Goeber, T. Haiden, M. Mittermaier, P. Nurmi, L. Wilson, S. Jackson, P. Johnston, and D. Schuster, 2018: The WMO challenge to develop and demonstrate the best new user-oriented forecast verification metric. *Meteorolog. Zeit.*, **6**, 435-440.
- Golding, B., M. Mittermaier, C. Ross, B. Ebert, S. Panchuk, A. Scolobig, D. Johnston (2019). A value chain approach to optimizing early warning systems. *Global Assessment Report on Disaster Risk Reduction*, 30 pp.
- Holzer, A.M., P. Groenemeijer, T. Krennert, R. Kaltenberger, T. Kühne, T. Schreiner, G. Strommer (2019). EWOB: A standard for international exchange of weather and weather impact observations from crowd-sourcing. EMS2019-887-2. <u>https://meetingorganizer.copernicus.org/EMS2019/EMS2019-887-2.pdf</u>
- Kaltenberger, R., Schaffhauser, A., & Staudinger, M. (2020). "What the weather will do" results of a survey on impact-oriented and impact-based warnings in European NMHSs. Adv. Sci. Research, 17, 29-38.
- Robbins, J.C., H.A. Titley, (2018). Evaluating high-impact precipitation forecasts from the Met Office Global Hazard Map using a global impact database. Meteorol Appl., 25: 548–560.
- Titley, H.A, M. Yamaguchi, L. Magnusson, 2019: Current and Potential Use of Ensemble Forecasts in Operational TC Forecasting: Results from a Global Forecaster Survey. *Tropical Cyclone Research and Review*.
- Weyrich P., Scolobig A., Bresch D., Patt A. (2018), Effects of impact based warnings and behavioural recommendations for extreme weather events, Weather, Climate and Society, 10: 781-795. <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/WCAS-D-18-0038.1</u>
- Weyrich P., Scolobig A., Walther F., Patt A. (2020), Responses to severe weather warnings and affective decision-making, *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences*, 20: 2811-2821 https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-20-2811-2020
- Weyrich P., Scolobig A., Walther F., Patt A. (2020), Do intentions indicate actual behaviours? A comparison between scenario-based experiments and real-time observations of warning response, *Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management*, 28: 240-250 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-5973.12318</u>
- Weyrich P., Scolobig A., Patt A. (2019), Dealing with inconsistent weather warnings: Effects on warning quality and intended actions, *Meteorological Applications* <u>https://rmets.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/met.1785</u>
- Weyrich P., Scolobig A., Patt A. (2019), Impacts of inconsistent weather warnings on public behaviour, in World Bank, *Weathering the Change: How to Improve Hydromet Services in Developing Countries*? Washington, DC: World Bank. <u>https://www.gfdrr.org/en/publication/weathering-change-how-improve-hydromet-services-developing-countries</u>
- Weyrich P., Ruin I., Terti G., Scolobig A., Using serious games to evaluate the potential of social media information in warning decision-making, submitted 5th of July 2019 to *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction* (in review)
- Weyrich, P., Mondino, E., Borga, M., Di Baldassarre, G., Patt, A., and Scolobig, A. (2020). A flood risk oriented dynamic protection motivation framework to explain risk reduction behaviours, *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.*, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-120</u>, 287-298.