# The NFLICS project: Nowcasting FLood Impacts of Convective storms in the Sahel

Seonaid R. Anderson<sup>1</sup>, Steven J. Cole<sup>1</sup>, Cheikh Abdoulahat Diop<sup>2</sup>, Christopher M. Taylor<sup>1</sup>, Cornelia Klein<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology (UKCEH) <sup>2</sup> Agence Nationale de l'Aviation Civile et de la Météorologie (ANACIM)

### 2020-IVMW-O Spatial methods

17 November 2020







### **Overview and motivations**

- Flash flooding from heavy rainfall is a cause of major damage and loss of life in Africa<sup>1</sup>
- In the Sahel, Mesoscale Convective Systems are the main driver and have tripled in frequency since 1982<sup>2</sup>
- Rapid urban expansion and poor infrastructure means impacts of floods likely to worsen in future
- Availability and use of high resolution NWP products and nowcasting approaches is low

#### Systems per day at 1800 UTC





November 2018 (dry season) Pikine commune, Dakar









# Methods: data and domains considered





- Meteosat (ch9) data on **Cloud top temperature** for a **domain** covering the **Western Sahel (black** above)
- Three verification domains (dotted above, here we consider the western-most red domain)
- 24h raingauge data over Dakar available for evaluation and verification in partnership with ANACIM



UK Centre for Ecology & Hydrology





# Methods: convective structure identification

# **Real-time brightness temperature image** with **convective structures identified**: example 26 August 2012 0000 UTC





- Wavelet transform method for identifying convective cores (Klein et al. 2018) shown by blue contours
- Applied in real-time every 15 minutes





# Method: climatological probabilities – spatial approach

#### Spatially-averaged probabilities ≠ probabilities calculated over spatial area (neighbourhood)





- JJAS 2004 2019 for each time of day
- Probability values depend on spatial scale
  - Need to use verification to identify appropriate and skilful spatial scales







# Method: conditional climatological probabilities



# **Method: producing nowcasts**





# A. Verification of forecasting method - accuracy





- Higher probabilities and better
  performance for
  larger spatial scales
- Overall performance decreases with leadtime but not linearly (and there are exceptions!)







Initially skill in event identification increases with spatial scale before levelling off or decreasing slightly → there is an optimum spatial scale for nowcast skill

### **B. Verification of forecasts against rainfall events**





Skill exists for predicting • 0.0 extreme rainfall events 1.0

2.0

3.0

4.0

5.0

6.0

- Similar results for 90mm threshold
- Despite differences in data types and a limited sample it is possible to say something





# C. Verification of convection identification method





**Convective structure** data at raingauge locations





Minimum number of images with convective structures in 24h



- Hit rate for 1 to 1.5h of convective activity ~ 0.3-0.6
- False alarm rate very small due to high instance of nonevents
- Full climatological rate of events is much smaller

# **Summary and conclusions**

- Spatial methods and ensemble techniques can be applied to develop novel forecasting solutions
- Informed decisions about appropriate spatial scales can be formed based on the verification information
- Verification (of some form) is possible even given data limitations and differences
- Multiple aspects of the system need to be verified to get an overall picture of forecast behaviour, and to enable informed use







# **NFLICS GUI for real-time display**



# Thank you for your attention.

# Are there any questions?



