

Environnement et Changement climatique Canada





## **Representativeness issues in Verification practices**

<u>Barbara Casati</u>, François Lemay, Nelson Shum, Thomas Haiden, Morten Koltzow

Talk Outline :

- Model tile versus station elevation
- Land versus ocean tile component

# Sub-tile representativeness

NWP systems cannot resolve explicitly sub-grid phenomena due to local effects or characterized by a sub-grid scale (e.g. convective precip): the model resolution is a limiting factor.



#### Montreal, CRCM gpt (142,108) stations 7025280 and 7027320



There is a scale mis-matching between the value observed at the station "point location" and the tile-aggregated value provided by the model: they "represent" two different quantities

## **1. Model tile versus station elevation**

Gridded models cannot always fully resolve the complex topography associated to complex mountain terrain. Model tiles often result at a higher elevation than stations, which are usually located in the valley.

Temperature in a standard atmosphere decrease with altitude with a lapse-rate ~ 0.0065 C / m. Model temperature is then adjusted to station elevation by applying this lapse-rate adjustment (WMO manual 485).









Surface Temperature Bias, Fennoscandia, summer SOP



MEAN ERROR (P-O) OF SURFACE TEMPERATURE (C) 2018-06-28 @ 2018-09-30 alt diff max 500 ade synop Fennoscandia



STANDARD DEVIATION (P-O) OF SURFACE TEMPERATURE (C) 2018-06-28 @ 2018-09-30 alt diff max 500 ade synop Fennoscandia



 $S_2 - S_1$ 



confidence 90 %





#### What about night inversions?

Night inversion conditions contribute to a significant portion of the error; however the inversion lapse rate does not add significant gain.





Run Hour + Forecast Lead Time (hours)

### Model ranking with and without lapse-rate adjustment

Differences in model performances are reduced when applying the temperature lapse-rate adjustment: the coarse GDPS ~ 25km (more strongly affected by representativeness issues) becomes more comparable to the higher resolution CAPS ~ 3km (RDPS ~ 10km)



## 2. land versus ocean tile component

#### Water versus land proportion within the model tile



Aland Islands model tiles are dominated by water!

Aland Islands: adjustment leads to colder bias => model is at sea-level, whereas stations are higher, **inland!** 





#### STANDARD DEVIATION (P-O) OF SCREEN-LEVEL AIR TEMPERATURE (C) 2018-06-29 @ 2018-09-30 ade synop Fennoscandia





MEAN ERROR (P-O) OF SURFACE TEMPERATURE (C) 2016-06-19 @ 2016-08-21

Prevision 00 heures valide 00:00Z le 06 aout 2018

(analysis A. Zadra, ECCC)

#### Alaska and Canadian Arctic ~



**Cryospere Mask** 



09

0.8

0.7

0.6

0.5

04

0.3

0.2

0.1

Aggregated TJST(5) near-surface air temperature cold bias is partially due to the water component of the tile, but it is potentially dominated by the cryosphere!!

(analysis A. Zadra, ECCC)



MEAN ERROR (P-O) OF SURFACE TEMPERATURE (C) 2016-06-19 @ 2016-08-21 alt diff max 100 synop\_swob\_metar Alaska plus Canadian Arctic

# Summary

Representativeness can dominate the forecast error:

Model tile temperatures are adjusted to station elevation by applying a standard atmosphere lapse rate: model ranking (coarse versus higher resolution) is affected by the adjustment. Future: verify and use model lapse rate.

Model sub-tile component might be more representative of station observations, than the tile aggregated values.

Pending questions: the different behaviour of the sub-tile components is a model feature only, or is it also observed? Are coastal stations representative of in-land or ocean weather?





