

## THE DICHOTOMOUS METHOD OF WEATHER FORECAST VERIFICATION AT THE CENTRAL FORECAST OFFICE (CFO), NIGERIAN METEOROLOGICAL AGENCY, (NIMET), ABUJA, NIGERIA.



ATOYEBI BABATUNDE, EZEDIGBOH UGOCHUKWU, NWACHUKWU LINDA, AFOLAYAN STELLA, OKANLAWON ABIOLA ABAYOMI, ONYILO DESMOND.

2020IVMWO 1630UTC 17-11-2020

# Introduction; Aim; Objectives; Requirements for verification; Mode of Verification:

- The **dichotomous method** used at CFO is simple and effective enough to serve the purpose.
- Both daily validations and monthly verifications are carried out using ground (primary) reports from 48 weather stations spread across Abuja and the 36 states of Nigeria, and from Satellite based information.
- Aim: To evaluate the skill performance of the forecasting techniques at the CFO, using standard contingencies and standard ratios, by comparing forecast and observation, in order to verify the closeness between the forecast and the observed.
- **Objective**: the above aim helps us to achieve the following;
- Personnel evaluation; helps to know the performance rate of staff in the area of model interpretation, and make improvements.
- Model evaluation; helps to guard against model related forecast errors, esp. during transitional periods, due to model adjustment.

- Requirements for verification:
- Daily weather forecast bulletin: *details* of *forecast issued* for the *48 cities* or LGA in which the *representative stations* are located.
- Observation reports from ground stations: As accessible as possible, a.m. and p.m. reports of weather conditions of the day in view. Best verification tool but has both spatial and temporal challenges. As, all stations are not 24/7. Report not a true representation of area.
- Satellite based observations: spatially and temporally useful to verify weather phenomena. Sources; PUMA, EUMETSAT website etc.. However, not a perfect substitute to verify temperature.
- Modes of verification and meteorological parameters to verify: 2 modes;
- Daily weather forecast validation: Weather phenomenon, minimum temperature and maximum temperature, with a bias of +2°C.
- Monthly weather forecast verification: Weather phenomenon only.

# Daily Weather Forecast Validation:

- **Tool:** Microsoft word, **tabular representation**.
- Row representation: each *row* represents a weather *station* which is representative of a city or an area. There are a total of 48 stations/cities represented by the rows.
- Column representation: a.m. weather forecast, p.m. weather forecast, a.m. weather observed, p.m. weather observed, minimum temperature forecast, maximum temperature forecast, minimum temperature observed, maximum temperature observed, a.m. contingency, p.m. contingency, minimum temperature contingency and maximum temperature contingency. Column of contingencies are scored "1s" and "0s" for "hits" and "misses" respectively
- Accuracy of forecast: measurements of forecast accuracy in daily weather validation is simply measured in percentage as follows;

Accuracy = (Sum of validated hits  $\div$  Sum of validated stations)  $\times$  100

S/N	STATIO N	FORECAST				OBSERVATION							TEMPERATUE	
		WEATHER		MAX/MIN TEMP		WEATHER		MAX/MIN TEMP		BINARY VERIFICATION		BINARY VERIFICATION		
		AM	PM			AM	PM			AM	PM	OP	MAX	MIN
1	ABUJA	TS	TS	31	23	TS	PC	24	24	1	0	1	0	1
2	AKURE	С	TS	27	22	RA	TS	27	22	0	1	1	1	1
3	BENIN	RA	RA	30	22	RA	RA	-	-	1	1	2	-	-
4	CALABA R	RA	RA	30	24	RA	RA	29	23	1	1	2	1	1
5	ENUGU	RA	TS	30	22	RA	PC	27	22	1	0	1	0	1
6	GOMBE	с	TS	32	22	TS	тs	-	19	0	1	1	-	0
7	IBADAN	с	RA	28	23	с	RA	-	22	1	1	2	-	1
8	ILORIN	С	TS	30	22	TS	TS	26	23	0	1	1	0	1
9	JOS	TS	TS	25	18	TS	TS	-	16	1	1	2	-	1
10	KADUN A	TS	TS	28	22	TS	TS	27	18	1	1	2	1	0
44	SHAKI	С	RA	29	21	С	PC	27	21	1	0	1	1	1
45	UMUAH IA	RA	RA	32	22	RA	PC	-	22	1	0	1	-	1
46	YELWA	С	TS	32	22	TS	PC	26	21	0	0	0	0	1
47	YENEG OA	с	RA	30	22	RA	С	-	25	0	0	0	-	0
48	DAMAT URU	С	TS	33	23	TS	TS	-	22	0	1	1	-	1

# Accuracy of Forecast:

A.M.	Р.М.	OVERALL
58%.	58%	58%
80%	-	80%
-	65%	65%
	A.M. 58%. 80% -	A.M. P.M. 58%. 58% 80% - - 65%

- **Tool:** Microsoft excel spread sheet.
- Row representation: each *row* represents a *representative* weather *station*. A total of 48 stations are represented by the rows.
- Column representation: a.m. forecast, p.m. forecast, a.m. observation, p.m. observation, a.m. contingency and p.m. contingency. "YES" and "NO" represent "event" and "non-event" respectively. An "event" in this context means rain, thunderstorm or deep convective cloud like cumulonimbus (CB). Also, that a "non-event" translates to clear/sunny sky, partly cloudy sky with intervals of sunshine or cloudy sky without CB. Combinations of "YES" and "NO", when the forecast and the observed are compared, result in more contingency outcomes even as follows;

#### **Contingency Table:**

	Observation						
	YES	NO					
ie YES	Hit	False alarm (FA)					
NO NO	Miss	Correct non-even					
<u>ድ</u>		(CN)					
Below is the "IF" and "AND" formula for contingency outcomes:							

=IF(AND(B5="YES",D5="YES"),"HIT",IF(AND(B5="YES",D5="NO"), "FA",IF(AND(B5="NO",D5="NO"),"CN",IF(AND(B5="NO",D5="YES "),"MISS","NNA")))) Monthly Weather Forecast Verification:

			FORECAST			OBS			MORNING	AFTERNOO	
S/N	STATION	S	MORNIN	G AFTERNO	N NC	MORNING	AFTERNOON		RESULT	RESULT	
1	ABUJA		YES	YES	Y	'ES	NO		HIT	FA	
2	AKURE		NO	YES	Ν	10	YES		CN	HIT	
3	BENIN		NO	NO	Ν	10	NO		CN	CN	
4	CALABAF	२	NO	NO	Ν	10	NO		CN	CN	
5	ENUGU		NO	YES	Ν	10	NO		CN	FA	
6	GOMBE		NO	YES	Y	′ES	YES		MISS	HIT	
7	IBADAN NO		NO	Ν	10	NO		CN	CN		
8	ILORIN	ILORIN NO		YES	Y	′ES	YES		MISS	HIT	
9	JOS		YES	YES	Y	′ES	YES		HIT	HIT	
10	0 KADUNA		YES	YES	Y	′ES	YES		HIT	HIT	
44	SHAKI		NO	NO	Ν	10	NO		CN	CN	
45	UMUAHIA		NO	NO	Ν	NO NO			CN	CN	
46	YELWA		NO	YES	Y	YES NO			MISS	FA	
47	YENEGOA		NO	NO	Ν	NO NO			CN	CN	
48	DAMATU	JRU	NO	YES	Y	′ES	YES		MISS	HIT	
Hi	ts	25		Accuracy.		0.688		POFD	)	0.281	
Μ	isses	14		Bias		1.051		CSI		0.455	
CN	CN 41			POD		0.641		ETS		37.67	
FA	16 FA		FAR		0.390	TSS/P		SS	0.360		

Where column B5 is the forecast and column D5 is the observed

### Forecast Accuracy and other verification ratios:

Finally, relevant *standard ratios* are *computed* to further *probe* (verify) the forecasting *skill performance* of the forecasts issued for a particular month. Please, note that daily computations for these ratios are done firstly, after which their monthly averages are done. Below are given the ratios and their formulae;

Accuracy = (Hits + CNs)/(Hits+Misses+CNs+FAs)

Bias = (Hits+FAs)/(Hits+Misses)

Probability of Detection, **POD** = **Hits/(Hits+Misses)** 

False Alarm Ratio, FAR = FAs/(Hits+FAs)

Probability of False Detection, **POFD**= **FA**s/(**CN**s+**FA**s)

Critical Success Index, CSI = Hits/(Hits+Misses+FAs)

#### ETS = Hits-

```
{[(Hits+Misses) × (Hits+FAs)]/(Hits+Misses+CNs+F
As)} ÷ Hits+Misses+FAs-
{[(Hits+Misses) × (Hits+FAs)]/(Hits+Misses+CNs+F
As)}
```

```
TSS/PSS = [Hits/(Hits+Misses)] – [FAs/(FAs+CNs)]
```

 Forecast Accuracy and other verification ratios: These are represented in graphical as shown below



# THANK YOU